

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/940,509	08/27/2001	Doug Dunning	40655.5800	40655.5800 7398		
20322 75	590 06/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SNELL & WI	LMER	BASHORE, ALAIN L				
ONE ARIZONA 400 EAST VAI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHOENIX, AZ		1762				

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	b.U						
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/940,509		DUNNING ET AL.				
		Examiner	-	Art Unit				
		Alain L. Bashore		1762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, how eply within the statutory mi d will apply and will expire ute. cause the application i	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.			
Status			•	·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	March 2005.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.							
3)□								
Dispositi	ion of Claims			•				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-76 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-76 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from conside			:			
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0er No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:)-152) ·			

Application/Control Number: 09/940,509

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-76 are rejected under 35 U₂S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King in view of Sandretto in further view of Ginsberg.

King discloses a method foe enabling investors to participate in market activity and preserve principal. There is offered an investment instrument for sale, for a certain face value, term and date of maturity (col 7, lines 45-50). Funds are accepted from a purchaser for at least one of the investment instrument. A return—generating model is disclosed based on the activity of at least one market index and the return earned by the investment is calculated according to the return-generating model (col 8, lines 23-65). The monetary equivalent of at least one of the principal and return are distributed to the purchaser and the return is added to the face value of the investment to calculate the principal (col 9, lines 48-67; col 10, lines 1-6). The principal is guaranteed against losses (col 15, lines 40-45).

Application/Control Number: 09/940,509

Art Unit: 1762

King does not disclose:

allowing the purchaser to select a return-generating model from at least two deferent return-generating models.

Sandretto discloses allowing the purchaser to select a return-generating model from at least two deferent return-generating models (col 27, lines 15-20).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include allowing the purchaser to select a return-generating model from at least two deferent return-generating models because Sandretto teaches model determination will affect cash flow (col 25, lines 6-16).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include term durations as claimed since Sandretto teaches various time periods for simulation purposes to determine the best model used (col 25, lines 10-14).

Asset value determination model is encompassed within return generation considerations.

King also does not disclose basing activity of the end of at least one of said term and said date of maturity.

Ginsberg discloses basing activity of the end of at least one of said term and said date of maturity (col 10, lines 41-53).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include basing activity of the end of at least one of said term and said date of maturity because Ginsberg teaches calculations of least expensive portfilio (col 10, lines 41-45).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Regarding all Class 705 applications, the management contact regarding examination is: Vincent Millin (SPE, art unit 3624) at 571-272-6747.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,509 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762